

Decision _____

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Enron Energy Services, Inc., and
Enron Energy Marketing Corp.,

Complainants,

vs.

Southern California Edison Company,

Defendant.

Case 01-01-029
(Complaint filed January 22, 2001;
Cross-Complaint filed March 1, 2001)

Southern California Edison Company,

Cross-Complainant,

vs.

Enron Energy Services, Inc., and
Enron Energy Marketing Corp.,

Cross-Defendants.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Plaintiffs and cross-defendants, Enron Energy Services, Inc., and Enron Energy Marketing Corp., request that Case (C.) 01-01-029 be dismissed with prejudice.

Defendant and cross-complainant, Southern California Edison Company, requests that the cross-complaint in C.01-01-029 be dismissed without prejudice.

Complainants, Energy Service Providers, sought an order of the Commission requiring defendant to remit to complainants unspecified amounts allegedly due complainants pursuant to defendant's Tariff Rule 22, Schedule PX. Defendant answered and cross-complained. Defendant denied complainants were entitled to any relief and cross-complained for unspecified amounts erroneously paid by defendant to complainants.

On March 20, 2002, all parties filed a motion to dismiss the complaint with prejudice, and the cross-complaint without prejudice.

Waiver of Comment Period

This is an uncontested matter in which the decision grants the relief requested. Accordingly, pursuant to Pub. Util. Code § 311(g)(2), the otherwise applicable 30-day period for public review and comment is being waived.

O R D E R

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. The complaint of Enron Energy Services, Inc., and Enron Energy Marketing Corp., is dismissed with prejudice.
2. The cross-complaint of Southern California Edison Company is dismissed without prejudice.
3. This proceeding is closed.

This order is effective today.

Dated _____, at San Francisco, California.